

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/043,104	01/14/2002	David J. Corisis	M4065.0317/P317-B	7226
24998	7590 03/23/2005		EXAMINER	
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP			KEBEDE, BROOK	
2101 L Street, NW Washington, DC 20037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2823	
		DATE MAILED: 03/23/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

E/	
$\neg \nu$	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	10/043,104	CORISIS, DAVID J.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Brook Kebede	2823				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 Ja	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <i>04 January 2005</i> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	·					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims		·				
4) Claim(s) 28-38 is/are pending in the application	1.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>35-38</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>28-34</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	•					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		xaminer.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	•	•				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/043,104

Art Unit: 2823

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Newly submitted claims 35-38 directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons:

Applicant elects the device claims, i.e., Group I claims, without traverse in the response filed on June 2, 2003. In addition, the invention that was not related to the elected invention has been withdrawn and cancelled. However, the newly added claims i.e., claims 35-38, are belong to the non-elected invention as set freth in the response May 16, 2003 restriction.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 35-38 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

1. Claims 28-34 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-6 of U.S. Patent No. 6,184,465. Although

Page 2

the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the following reasons:

Re claims 28-34, the scope of the subject matter of the instant application already claims in the claims U.S. Patent No. 6,184,465. The claimed subject matter includes a semiconductor packages, comprising: a substrate having tom (i.e., upper) and bottom (i.e., lower) surfaces, one of said upper and lower surfaces including a first plurality of grooves dividing said substrate into a plurality of segments; a plurality semiconductor dies, each semiconductor die being substantially aligned with a segment; and an encapsulant over said plurality of semiconductor dies, said encapsulant having a second plurality of grooves; wherein said second plurality of grooves is substantially aligned with said first plurality of grooves; wherein said first plurality of grooves are formed on said upper surface of said substrate; wherein said plurality of semiconductor dies are formed on said lower surface of said substrate. wherein said plurality of semiconductor dies are formed on said lower surface of said substrate wherein said plurality of semiconductor dies are formed on said upper surface of said; wherein each of said plurality of semiconductor dies is electrically connected to a respective segment of said substrate (see Claims 1-6 of U.S. Patent No. 6,184,465).

Therefore, are not patentably distinct from each other.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 28-34 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection that was necessitated by the amendment filed on January 4, 2005.

Application/Control Number: 10/043,104 Page 4

Art Unit: 2823

Conclusion

3. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Correspondence

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brook Kebede whose telephone number is (571) 272-1862. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5 Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri can be reached on (571) 272-1855. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2823

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BK March 16, 2005

George Fourson
Primary Examiner